COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1559-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 594

Subject: Revenue Dept.; Taxation and Revenue - General, Sales and Use;

Telecommunications

Type: Updated Date: March 8, 2001

#Updated to reflect information received from the Public Service Commission

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
General Revenue#	\$0	\$0	\$0				
School District Trust#	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Conservation#	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Parks and Soil#	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds#	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
None							
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
Local Government#	\$0	\$0	\$0				

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

L.R. No. 1559-01 Bill No. HB 594 Page 2 of 3 March 8, 2001

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** state this legislation would not have an administrative impact on its agency.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (BAP)** state this proposal would expand the state sales tax exemption for certain types of telecommunication services. BAP has not been able to find any empirical basis to estimate the fiscal impact of this proposal. This bill has no fiscal impact on BAP.

#Officials from the **Department of Economic Development, Division of Public Service Commission (PSC)** assume this legislation would not fiscally impact their agency. In addition, current federal and state law require telecommunication companies to itemize all regulated and non-regulated services on the customer's bill. Therefore, PSC assumes this bill would have a zero to minimal revenue loss impact on total state revenue.

#Oversight assumes the revenue loss from this change in sales tax exemption for telecommunications services would be zero.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
	(10 Mo.)		
Loss to State Funds:#			
General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0
School District Trust Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0
Conservation Sales Tax Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0
Parks and Soil Sales Tax Fund	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON ALL			
FUNDS#	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
Loss to Political Subdivisions#	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL			
SUBDIVISIONS#	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

KS:LR:OD (12/00)

L.R. No. 1559-01 Bill No. HB 594 Page 3 of 3 March 8, 2001

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

Under current law, telecommunication service charges are exempt from sales and use taxes only when separately stated on the customer's bill. This bill allows those charges to also be exempt if the provider separately states the charges in the provider's business records.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue
Office of Administration
Budget and Planning
Department of Economic Development
Division of Public Service Commission

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director

March 8, 2001